

MINIMAL FREE RESOLUTIONS OF PROJECTIVE SUBSCHEMES OF SMALL DEGREE

UWE NAGEL

1. INTRODUCTION

For an arbitrary projective subscheme $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$, it is impossible to say much about its minimal free resolution. Some a priori information is needed. In this article we will consider integral subschemes as well as equidimensional Cohen-Macaulay subschemes of small degree. There are several encouraging results for such subschemes in the literature. Our goal is to discuss the known results and to motivate further research in order to complete these.

We first consider varieties, where we mean by a variety an irreducible and reduced projective subscheme $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$. We will always consider X as embedded in its linear span, that is, we assume that X is not contained in a hyperplane. In this case, we say that $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is *non-degenerate*. Let $H \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a general hyperplane. Then Bertini's theorem implies that $X \cap H \subset H \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ is a reduced and non-degenerate subscheme that is also irreducible provided $k := \dim X \geq 2$. It follows that the intersection of X with a general subspace of dimension $n - k$ is a set of $d := \deg X$ points spanning a $(n - k)$ -dimensional linear space. This implies $d \geq n - k + 1$, i.e.

$$\deg X \geq \operatorname{codim} X + 1.$$

Varieties with $\deg X = \operatorname{codim} X + 1$ are called *varieties of minimal degree*. They are completely classified. In particular, they are always arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay and their minimal free resolution is known. We recall some of the results in Section 2.

The next case, varieties satisfying $\deg X = \operatorname{codim} X + 2$ is considerably more difficult. From a geometric viewpoint, Fujita has a satisfying classification theory of these varieties (cf. [Fujita-1982], [Fujita-1984]). Their cohomological properties are described in [Hoa-Stückrad-Vogel]. In particular, such varieties are not necessarily arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay. The homological properties of them are not well understood. More specifically, the authors posed in [Hoa-Stückrad-Vogel] the following problem.

Problem 1.1. *Describe the minimal free graded resolution of a non-degenerate variety $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ with $\deg X = \operatorname{codim} X + 2$.*

Hoà was the first who attempted to solve this problem. In [Hoà] he obtained partial information on the graded Betti numbers of the varieties at hand. His results along with several improvements are presented in Section 2. Our improvements are based on the fact that every such curve X is a divisor on a rational surface scroll. This allows us to apply results in [Nagel]. The best information, we get for varieties of codimension two (cf. Theorem 1.2 below) and curves. However, in general, it remains open to determine the graded Betti numbers of X . In some sense, the first open case are curves in $\mathbb{P}^5$ of degree six. Remark 2.16 suggests that a closer look at the geometry of the curves is needed to make further progress.

Second, we drop the requirement on the subscheme $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ to be integral. Then there is only the trivial lower bound one for its degree. Since the degree does not take lower dimensional components into account, we assume that X is equidimensional. Then X has degree one if and only if it is a linear space. Hence, the first interesting case occurs when $\deg X = 2$. If X is reducible then X is simply the union of two linear spaces. However, if X is irreducible, then its scheme structure can be rather complicated. Nevertheless, for curves of degree two a complete description has been obtained in [Nagel-Notari-Spreafico-03] that allowed us to describe their minimal free resolutions. This is described in Section 3. In the case of space curves, there is also a complete classification of curves having degree three [Nollet] or four [Nollet-Schlesinger]. A motivation for these results has been to understand the Hilbert scheme of such curves, in particular whether it is connected. In [Nagel-Notari-Spreafico-03], we also showed that double lines can be used to construct curves with large cohomology.

It would be very interesting to extend these results for curves to subschemes of higher dimension and/or codimension. Manolache has obtained satisfying results for subschemes that have additional properties like being a locally complete intersection. However, a complete classification of Cohen-Macaulay subschemes of degree two and three seems rather difficult. In fact, Vatne has shown that such a classification should imply Hartshorne's conjecture on smooth varieties of codimension two (cf. Remark 3.15).

While working on this paper it became clear that some results in the literature can be improved. We decided to include the stronger statements and their proofs. This also illustrates some of the methods that are used to achieve these results.

It turns out that Problem 1.1, as far as the Betti numbers are concerned, can be completely solved for varieties of codimension two. In fact, defining (by slight abuse of notation) the depth of X as the depth of its homogeneous coordinate ring we show.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n = \text{Proj}(R)$ be a non-degenerate variety of codimension two and degree four. Then we have:*

(a) *If X is Cohen-Macaulay, then it is one of the varieties of the following list:*

- (i) *X is a complete intersection cut out by two quadrics.*
- (ii) *X is a curve with minimal free resolution of the form*

$$0 \rightarrow R(-5) \rightarrow R(-4)^4 \rightarrow R(-3)^3 \oplus R(-2) \rightarrow I_X \rightarrow 0.$$

- (iii) *X is a surface of depth one and its minimal free resolution reads as*

$$0 \rightarrow R(-6) \rightarrow R(-5)^5 \rightarrow R(-4)^{10} \rightarrow R(-3)^7 \rightarrow I_X \rightarrow 0.$$

(b) *In any case, the shape of the minimal free resolution of X is one of the three types listed in (a).*

In particular we see that the dimension of such a variety is at most two if it is Cohen-Macaulay, but not a complete intersection.

While the varieties in case (ii) are divisors on a scroll, the surface in case (iii) is not contained in any scroll. It is a generic projection of the Veronese surface in $\mathbb{P}^5$.

Throughout this article, $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ will be a projective subscheme of dimension $k \geq 1$. We denote its homogeneous coordinate ring by $A = R/I_X$ where $I_X \subset R := K[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ is the homogeneous ideal of X and K is a field of arbitrary characteristic.

The i -th local cohomology module $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(A)$ of A is isomorphic to $H_*^i(\mathcal{I}_X) := \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} H^i(\mathcal{I}_X(j))$, provided $i \geq 1$.

I am grateful to Irena Peeva for asking me to contribute this paper. I enjoyed writing it.

Moreover, I thank Nicolae Manolache, Scott Nollet, Roberto Notari, and Peter Schenzel for helpful comments.

2. INTEGRAL SUBSCHEMES

The focus of this section is Problem 1.1. The solution of the analogous problem for varieties of minimal degree is known and we will make use of it.

Recall that a variety of minimal degree is either a quadric hypersurface, a rational normal scroll, or a cone over the Veronese surface in $\mathbb{P}^5$.

A modern proof of this classification can be found in [Eisenbud-Harris]. Note that all varieties of minimal degree are arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay and that their minimal free resolutions are well-known. For simplicity, we just record their graded Betti numbers here.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n = \text{Proj}(R)$ be a variety of minimal degree. Setting $c := \text{codim } X$, X has a minimal free resolution of the form*

$$0 \rightarrow R(-c-2)^{\alpha_c} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow R(-3)^{\alpha_2} \rightarrow R(-2)^{\alpha_1} \rightarrow I_X \rightarrow 0$$

where $\alpha_i = i \binom{c+1}{i+1}$.

To see this, it suffices to consider rational normal curves. Their minimal free resolution is given by an Eagon-Northcott complex.

Among the varieties of minimal degree, the scrolls will be the most relevant here because Proposition 2.9 in [Nagel] says that effective integral divisors on a quadric of rank ≥ 5 and on cones over the Veronese surface in $\mathbb{P}^5$ are always arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay. Following [Harris] such scrolls can be described as follows.

Let $\mathcal{E} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_i)$ be a vector bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1$ where $0 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_k$ and $a_k > 0$. The tautological line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)$ of its projectivization $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ provides a birational map

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{k-1+\sum a_i}.$$

We denote its image by $S(a_1, \dots, a_k)$. It is a variety of dimension k and minimal degree $c = \sum a_i$. Its homogeneous ideal is generated by the maximal minors of a $c \times 2$ matrix whose entries are linear forms.

Now we turn our attention to varieties X satisfying

$$\deg X = \text{codim } X + 2.$$

The cohomology of such varieties has been computed by Hoa, Stückrad, and Vogel.

Theorem 2.2. *If $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is a non-degenerate variety of degree $n - k + 2 = \text{codim } X + 2$. Then either X is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay, i.e. $\text{depth } X = k + 1$, or $1 \leq t := \text{depth } X \leq k$ and*

$$H_*^i(\mathcal{I}_X) \cong \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq k \text{ and } i \neq t \\ K[y_0, \dots, y_{t-2}]^\vee(t-2) & \text{if } i = t. \end{cases}$$

where $y_0, \dots, y_{t-2} \in A$ are algebraically independent elements of degree one and $N^\vee$ is the graded K -dual of N .

Proof. This follows from Theorems A and B in [Hoa-Stückrad-Vogel]. $\square$

Remark 2.3. Theorem 2.2 implies that X is arithmetically Buchsbaum, but not arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $\text{depth } X = 1$. Moreover, in this case we have $H_*^1(\mathcal{I}_X) \cong K(-1)$.

Furthermore, if $t = \text{depth } X \leq k$ then X has the cohomology of a cone over a variety $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-t+1}$ of degree $n - k - t + 3$ and depth one.

In order to get information on the graded Betti numbers of these varieties we will induct on the dimension using general hyperplane sections.

Remark 2.4 (First reduction). Let $H \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a general hyperplane defined by the linear form $l \in R$. Set $\overline{R} := R/lR$. Since the homogeneous coordinate ring A of X has positive depth, the graded Betti numbers of A as R -module and the graded Betti numbers of A/lA as $\overline{R}$ -module coincide (cf., e.g., [Bruns-Herzog], Proposition 1.1.4).

Moreover, if $\text{depth } X = \text{depth } A \geq 2$ then A/lA is the homogeneous coordinate ring of $X \cap H \subset H \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.

Repeated use of this remark provides the graded Betti numbers if X is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a non-degenerate integral variety of codimension c and degree $c + 2$ that is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay. Then X has a minimal free resolution of the form*

$$0 \rightarrow R(-c-2) \rightarrow R(-c)^{\alpha_{c-1}} \rightarrow R(-c+1)^{\alpha_{c-2}} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow R(-2)^{\alpha_1} \rightarrow I_X \rightarrow 0$$

where

$$\alpha_i = i \binom{c+1}{i+1} - \binom{c}{i-1} \quad \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq c-1.$$

Proof. This is Theorem 1 in [Hoa]. Using Lemma 2.8 below, it could also be obtained from [Nagel], Theorem 2.4. $\square$

Remark 2.6. As observed in [Hoa-Stückrad-Vogel], Theorem B, the resolution above shows that X is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay if and only if it is arithmetically Gorenstein. This fact can be also deduced from a result of Stanley. Indeed, if X is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay then its h-vector must be $(1, c, 1)$. In particular, it is symmetric. Now [Stanley], Theorem 4.4, shows that X must be arithmetically Gorenstein.

If we compute the graded Betti number of the variety X that is not arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay, then using the first reduction (Remark 2.4), we may assume that $\text{depth } X = 1$. In this situation we will use.

Remark 2.7 (Second reduction). If X has depth one, then we know by Theorem 2.2 that $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(A) \cong K(-1)$. Hence, the long exact cohomology sequence associated to

$$0 \rightarrow A(-1) \xrightarrow{l} A \rightarrow A/lA \rightarrow 0$$

implies $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(A/lA) \cong K(-2)$. This provides the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K(-2) \rightarrow A/lA \rightarrow \overline{R}/I_{X \cap H} \rightarrow 0.$$

Assuming by induction that we know the minimal free resolution of $X \cap H$, the Horseshoe lemma gives a free resolution of A/lA as $\overline{R}$ -module which might not be minimal. But in any case, we get upper bounds for the graded Betti numbers of X by knowing upper bounds for the graded Betti numbers of $X \cap H$.

In order to use this idea, we have to get information on curves first. For curves, our starting point is a slight modification of Proposition 1.2 in [Ein-Eisenbud-Katz] in order to include possibly singular curves.

Lemma 2.8. *If $C \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is a non-degenerate integral curve of degree $n + 1$ that is not arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay, then C is contained in a rational normal surface scroll.*

Proof. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R/I_C \rightarrow H_*^0(\mathcal{O}_C) \rightarrow H_*^1(\mathcal{I}_C) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $H_*^1(\mathcal{I}_C) \cong K(-1)$ by Theorem 2.2, this sequence becomes

$$0 \rightarrow R/I_C \rightarrow H_*^0(\mathcal{O}_C) \rightarrow K(-1) \rightarrow 0.$$

It shows that $H_*^0(\mathcal{O}_C)$ is a graded integral domain that is generated in degree one. Thus, it is the homogenous coordinate ring of an integral curve $C' \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ of degree $n + 1$, i.e. C' is a rational normal curve. Moreover, C is the projection of C' from a point P off the curve. Let L be any line joining P to a point of C . Then, by [Ein-Eisenbud-Katz], Lemma 1.3, $C' \cup L$ lies on a rational normal surface scroll, whose projection will be a scroll containing C . $\square$

Remark 2.9. The analogous result is not true for integral curves of degree $n + 2$ that span $\mathbb{P}^n$ (cf. [Brodmann-Schenzel]).

The lemma above says that each such curve is an effective divisor on a scroll S . Thus, we can apply the results in [Nagel] where it is shown that the scroll must be smooth, and also the divisor class of C is determined. This provides.

Proposition 2.10. *Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^n = \text{Proj}(R)$ be a curve as in Lemma 2.8. Then I_C has a minimal free resolution of the form*

$$0 \rightarrow F_n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow I_C \rightarrow 0$$

where

$$F_i = R(-i-1)^{\alpha_i+\beta_i} \oplus R(-i-2)^{\gamma_i}$$

and

$$\alpha_i = i \binom{n-1}{i+1},$$

$$\beta_i = \begin{cases} n-3 & \text{if } i=1 \\ 0 & \text{if } i \geq n-2, \end{cases}$$

$$\gamma_{n-3} = \binom{n+1}{3} - (n-1)^2, \quad \gamma_{n-2} = \binom{n}{2}, \quad \gamma_{n-1} = n+1, \quad \gamma_n = 1,$$

$$\gamma_i - \beta_{i+1} = \binom{n+1}{i+1} - \binom{n-1}{i+1} - (n-1) \binom{n-2}{i} \quad \text{if } i \geq 1,$$

and

$$0 \leq \beta_i, \quad 0 \leq \gamma_i \leq \binom{n}{i} \quad \text{if } i \geq 1$$

Proof. The estimate

$$\gamma_i \leq \binom{n}{i}$$

is given in [Hoa], Proposition 8, the other claims follow from [Nagel], Theorem 5.10. Hoa's inequality follows quickly from our second reduction principle. Indeed, by Remark 2.7 we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K(-2) \rightarrow A/lA \rightarrow \overline{R}/I_{C \cap H} \rightarrow 0.$$

Since the graded Betti numbers of the Gorenstein set $C \cap H$ of $n+1$ points are well known, an application of the Horseshoe lemma implies [Hoa], Proposition 8.

Hoa's result can be refined using Lemma 2.8. In fact, this lemma says that there is a rational normal surface scroll S that contains C . Set $M := I_C/I_S$. Then the initial degree of M is two and $\text{rank}[M]_2 = n-3$.

Hence, the length of the linear strand of the minimal free resolution of M as R -module is at most $n - 3$ by [Eisenbud-Koh], Theorem 1.1. Now the Horseshoe lemma applied to

$$0 \rightarrow I_S \rightarrow I_C \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

implies the remaining claims. For details we refer to the proof of Theorem 5.10 in [Nagel]. $\square$

Remark 2.11. Proposition 2.10 gives more precise information on the Betti numbers of the curve than [Hoa], Proposition 8. The key for the improvement is Lemma 2.8.

Also observe that we do not use any assumption on the characteristic.

Let us illustrate our result for curves of low codimension. We have completely determined their graded Betti numbers if the codimension is at most three.

Example 2.12. Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a non-degenerate integral curve of degree $n + 1$.

(i) If C has codimension two then the shape of its minimal free resolution is either

$$0 \rightarrow R(-4) \oplus R(-2)^2 \rightarrow I_C \rightarrow 0$$

or

$$0 \rightarrow R(-5) \rightarrow R(-4)^4 \rightarrow R(-3)^3 \oplus R(-2) \rightarrow I_C \rightarrow 0.$$

(ii) If C has codimension three then the shape of its minimal free resolution is either

$$0 \rightarrow R(-5) \rightarrow R(-3)^5 \rightarrow R(-2)^5 \rightarrow I_C \rightarrow 0$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow R(-6) \rightarrow R(-5)^5 \rightarrow R(-4)^6 \oplus R(-3)^2 \rightarrow \\ R(-3) \oplus R(-2)^4 \rightarrow I_C \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) The first case where we do not have complete information about the Betti numbers occurs when C has codimension four. If C is not arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay then Proposition 2.10 gives that $C \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ has a minimal resolution of the form

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow R(-7) \rightarrow R(-6)^6 \rightarrow R(-5)^{10} \oplus R(-4)^3 \rightarrow \\ R(-4)^4 \oplus R(-3)^{8+\beta_2} \rightarrow R(-3)^{\gamma_1} \oplus R(-2)^8 \rightarrow I_C \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

where $0 \leq \gamma_1 \leq 5$ and $\gamma_1 - \beta_2 = -3$, thus $\beta_2 \geq 3$.

The next example shows that in case C is not arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay, there is not just one possible minimal free resolution, in general.

Example 2.13. We consider two curves of degree six in $\mathbb{P}^5$ that are not arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay.

(i) Let $C_1 \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ be the curve with parametrization $\{s^6 : s^5t : s^3t^3 : s^2t^4 : st^5 : t^6\}$. Its minimal free resolution reads as

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow R(-7) \rightarrow R(-6)^6 \rightarrow R(-5)^{10} \oplus R(-4)^3 \rightarrow \\ R(-4)^4 \oplus R(-3)^{12} \rightarrow R(-3) \oplus R(-2)^8 \rightarrow I_{C_1} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Let $C_1 \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ be the curve with parametrization $\{s^6 : s^5t : s^4t^2 : s^2t^4 : st^5 : t^6\}$. Its minimal free resolution has the form

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow R(-7) \rightarrow R(-6)^6 \rightarrow R(-5)^{10} \oplus R(-4)^3 \rightarrow \\ R(-4)^4 \oplus R(-3)^{11} \rightarrow R(-2)^8 \rightarrow I_{C_2} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the ideal of C_1 has a minimal cubic generator whereas the ideal of C_2 is generated by quadrics.

It would be interesting to decide.

Question 2.14. Are the two resolutions described in Example 2.13 the only possible resolutions of integral degree six curves in $\mathbb{P}^5$ that are not arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay?

Remark 2.15. We expect that the larger the codimension of the curve, the more different sets of graded Betti numbers do occur.

In order to shed some light onto this phenomenon we observe.

Remark 2.16. Consider again the curves $C_1, C_2 \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ of Example 2.13. It is not difficult to see that the curve C_1 is contained in a scroll $S(1, 3)$ whereas the curve C_2 is contained in a scroll $S(2, 2)$, i.e. the two curves are contained in scrolls of different type.

In general, Theorem 5.10 in [Nagel] implies that the divisor class $H + 2F$ of each scroll $S(a_0, a_1)$ with $1 \leq a_0 \leq a_1 = n - 1 - a_0$ contains an integral curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ of degree $n + 1$. This leaves $\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$ possible choices for the scrolls that contain such a curve. Example 2.13 suggests that the geometry of the scrolls plays a crucial role in determining the minimal free resolution of C .

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Because of Proposition 2.5 it remains to consider non-arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay varieties. For these, Theorem 2.2 shows that X is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if X has depth one. Thus, for the remainder of the proof of (a), we may assume that the depth of X is one.

If X is a curve then the results follows by Example 2.12.

Now let X be a surface of depth one. Then its general hyperplane section is a curve C of depth one, whose graded Betti numbers we already know. Hence, the second reduction (Remark 2.7) provides the following exact diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & K(-2) & \longrightarrow & A/lA & \longrightarrow & \overline{R}/I_C \longrightarrow 0. \\
& & \uparrow & & & & \uparrow \\
& & \overline{R}(-2) & & & & \overline{R} \\
& & \uparrow & & & & \uparrow \\
& & \overline{R}(-3)^4 & & & & \overline{R}(-2) \oplus \overline{R}(-3)^3 \\
& & \uparrow & & & & \uparrow \\
& & \overline{R}(-4)^6 & & & & \overline{R}(-4)^4 \\
& & \uparrow & & & & \uparrow \\
& & \overline{R}(-5)^4 & & & & \overline{R}(-5) \\
& & \uparrow & & & & \uparrow \\
& & \overline{R}(-6) & & & & 0 \\
& & \uparrow & & & & \\
& & 0 & & & &
\end{array}$$

Applying the Horseshoe lemma, we get a non-minimal free resolution of A/lA . Since A/lA is a cyclic $\overline{R}$ -module, we can cancel the direct summands $\overline{R}(-2)$ at the beginning of this resolution. That provides a linear resolution which is certainly minimal.

Now assume that X has depth one and that its dimension is at least three. We argue by induction on the dimension that this is impossible. Indeed, let Y be the general hyperplane section of X . Then Y has also depth one. We use again the exact sequence (cf. Remark 2.7)

$$0 \longrightarrow K(-2) \longrightarrow A/lA \longrightarrow \overline{R}/I_Y \longrightarrow 0.$$

It implies, as in the case of a surface above, that I_Y has exactly one more quadratic generator than I_X . In particular, it must have at least one such generator. But we have just seen that $[I_Y]_2 = 0$ if Y is a surface. This contradiction finishes the proof of (a)

Claim (b) follows by combining the first reduction (cf. Remark 2.4) and (a). $\square$

In general, the method of the above proof provides only a weaker result. Since arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay varieties are covered by Proposition 2.5, we exclude them now.

Theorem 2.17. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n = \text{Proj}(R)$ be a non-degenerate variety of degree $c + 2 := \text{codim } X + 2$ such that $\text{depth } X \leq \dim X$. Set $p = n + 1 - \text{depth } X$.*

Then I_X has a minimal free resolution of the form

$$0 \rightarrow F_p \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow I_X \rightarrow 0$$

where

$$F_i = R(-i-1)^{\delta_i} \oplus R(-i-2)^{\gamma_i}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1 &= \binom{c+2}{2} - p - 2, \\ \delta_i &= 0 \quad \text{if } i \geq c, \\ \gamma_i &= \begin{cases} \binom{p+1}{i+1} & \text{if } i \geq c \\ \binom{p+1}{c} - (c+1) & \text{if } i = c-1, \end{cases} \\ \gamma_i &\leq \begin{cases} \binom{p+1}{c-1} - c^2 & \text{if } i = c-2 \\ \binom{p+1}{i+1} & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq c-3, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\gamma_i - \delta_{i+1} = \binom{p+1}{i+1} + \binom{c}{i+2} - (c+1) \binom{c+1}{i+1} \quad \text{if } i \geq 1.$$

Proof. This is for the most part Theorem 2 in [Hoa]. Using our reduction steps we sketch an alternative proof that also provides our improvement of [Hoa], Theorem 2.

Again, we may assume that $\text{depth } X = 1$. If X is a curve then we have already shown the stronger Proposition 2.10.

Let $\dim X \geq 2$ and let $Y = X \cap H$ be a general hyperplane section of X . Then the induction hypothesis applies to Y . Let us use $\gamma_i(X), \delta_i(X), \gamma_i(Y), \delta_i(Y)$ to denote the graded Betti numbers of X and Y , respectively. Since the resolution of K is given by the Koszul complex, the second reduction (Remark 2.7) shows that X has a minimal free resolution of the form

$$0 \rightarrow F_p \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow I_X \rightarrow 0$$

where

$$F_i = R(-i-1)^{\delta_i} \oplus R(-i-2)^{\gamma_i}$$

and

$$\gamma_i(X) = \gamma_i(Y) + \binom{p}{i}.$$

As in the proof of Theorem 1.2, we see that cancelation occurs at the beginning, i.e. I_X has one quadric generator less than I_Y . For reason of degree there cannot be any cancelation at the end. Thus, an easy computation finishes the proof. $\square$

Remark 2.18. Let X be as in the preceding theorem. Put $k := \dim X$ and assume that X is Cohen-Macaulay, i.e. it has depth one. Then I_X has exactly $\binom{c+1}{2} - k - 1$ minimal generators of degree two. The ideal of a variety of minimal degree and codimension $c - 1$ is generated by $\binom{c}{2}$ quadrics. Hence, X cannot be a divisor on a variety of minimal degree if $k \geq c$.

On the other hand, if $k \leq c$ then such a variety does exist on a rational normal scroll by Theorem 5.12 in [Nagel].

If X is a divisor on a variety of minimal degree, then we have stronger information on its Betti numbers than in Theorem 2.17.

Proposition 2.19. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n = \text{Proj}(R)$ be a non-degenerate variety of degree $c + 2$, dimension $k = n - c$, and depth one that is a divisor on a variety of minimal degree. Then I_X has a minimal free resolution of the form*

$$0 \rightarrow F_n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow I_X \rightarrow 0$$

where

$$F_i = R(-i-1)^{\alpha_i + \beta_i} \oplus R(-i-2)^{\gamma_i}$$

and

$$\alpha_i = i \binom{c}{i+1},$$

$$\beta_i = \begin{cases} c - k - 1 & \text{if } i = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } i \geq c - k, \end{cases}$$

$$\gamma_i = \begin{cases} \binom{n+1}{i+1} - c \binom{c}{i+1} - \binom{c-1}{i} & \text{if } c - k - 1 \leq i < c \\ \binom{n+1}{i+1} & \text{if } c \leq i \leq n, \end{cases}$$

$$\gamma_i - \beta_{i+1} = \binom{n+1}{i+1} - \binom{c}{i+1} - c \binom{c-1}{i} \quad \text{if } i \geq 1,$$

and

$$0 \leq \beta_i, \quad 0 \leq \gamma_i \leq \binom{n+1}{i} \quad \text{if } i \geq 1$$

Proof. This is a special case of Theorem 5.10 in [Nagel]. $\square$

It is also interesting to investigate varieties of slightly higher degree. For smooth varieties, geometric classifications have been obtained, for example, in [Okonek], [Ionescu]. A study of the minimal free resolutions has been begun by Brodmann and Schenzel in the case of curves. For details, we refer to [Brodman-Schenzel].

3. EQUIDIMENSIONAL COHEN-MACAULAY SUBSCHEMES

Now we will consider more general subschemes. Throughout this section $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ denotes a non-degenerate equidimensional Cohen-Macaulay subscheme, i.e. its homogeneous ideal I_X is unmixed and its homogeneous coordinate ring $A = R/I_X$ is locally Cohen-Macaulay. Note that a projective subscheme Y has these properties if and only if its cohomology has finite length, i.e. the modules $H_*^i(\mathcal{I}_Y)$ have finite length for all i with $1 \leq i \leq \dim Y$.

If X is not a linear space then its degree is at least two. Here, we will focus on the case $\deg X = 2$. Note that every equidimensional subscheme of dimension one, a curve, is Cohen-Macaulay. This is no longer true in higher dimension. In fact, if C is a curve that is not arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay, then any cone over it is not Cohen-Macaulay. It turns out that the Cohen-Macaulay requirement puts rather strong conditions on X as the description of such schemes becomes considerably simpler when the dimension is at least two and the codimension is sufficiently small. The largest variety of such schemes occurs in dimension one. Fortunately, there is a complete description of the homogeneous ideals of degree two curves that also allows us to describe their minimal free resolutions, including the maps. We will describe these results first. Then we discuss Manolache's results in higher dimension and conclude with Vatne's equivalence of his conjecture about multiple structures of degree two and three and Hartshorne's conjecture about smooth codimension two varieties.

Before we turn to irreducible curves, we discuss the simpler case of reducible subschemes. We exclude the trivial case of a hypersurface.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a reducible, equidimensional, non-degenerate subscheme of degree two and dimension k with $1 \leq k < n$. Then X is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $n \geq 3$ is odd and X is the disjoint union of two disjoint linear subspaces of dimension $\frac{n-1}{2}$.*

Moreover, in this case its minimal free resolution is the tensor product of the resolutions of its two linear subspaces. In particular, the resolution has the form

$$0 \rightarrow R(-n-1)^{\delta_n} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow R(-3)^{\delta_2} \rightarrow R(-2)^{\delta_1} \rightarrow I_X \rightarrow 0$$

where $\delta_i = \binom{n+1}{i+1} - 2\binom{q}{i+1}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, and $q := \frac{n+1}{2}$.

Proof. By assumption on the degree, X is the union of two k -dimensional linear spaces L, M . Thus, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R/I_X \rightarrow R/I_L \oplus R/I_M \rightarrow R/(I_L + I_M) \rightarrow 0.$$

Set $p := \dim R/(I_L + I_M)$. Comparing the Hilbert functions in degree one, we get $n+1+p = 2(k+1)$, thus in particular $p < k$ because $k < n$. Since L and M are arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay, the sequence implies

$$H_*^i(\mathcal{I}_X) \cong \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq k, i \neq p+1 \\ H_{\mathfrak{m}}^p(R/(I_L + I_M)) & \text{if } i = p+1. \end{cases}$$

Hence X is not Cohen-Macaulay if $p > 0$ because then the module $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^p(R/(I_L + I_M))$ has not finite length. If $p = 0$, i.e. L and M do not meet, then X is Cohen-Macaulay and $k = \frac{n-1}{2}$. Moreover, in this case the tensor product of the minimal free resolutions of I_L, I_M gives the minimal free resolution of $I_X = I_L \cap I_M \cong I_L \otimes I_M$. Using the formula

$$\sum_{i=0}^j \binom{q}{i+1} \binom{q}{j-i+1} = \binom{2q}{j+2} - 2\binom{q}{j+2}.$$

we get the claim about the Betti numbers of X . $\square$

It remains to consider irreducible subschemes of degree two. In the case of a curve C , the support is a line L , thus C is often called a double line. Its ideal I_C contains I_L^2 , thus C is a rope. It will be useful to consider other ropes as well. A *rope* C (*supported on the line* L) is a curve such that $I_L^2 \subset I_C \subset I_L$. A d -rope is just a rope of degree d . We may and will assume that the supporting line L is defined by the ideal $I_L = (x_0, \dots, x_{n-2})$. In order to stress the particular role played by the line, we denote the coordinate ring of $\mathbb{P}^n$ by $R = K[x_0, \dots, x_r, t, u]$ where $r := n-2$. Then the coordinate ring of the line L is $S := K[t, u]$.

The homogeneous ideal of a rope has been characterized in Theorem 2.4 of [Nagel-Notari-Spreafico-03]. Here, the ideal generated by the $k \times k$ minors of a matrix M is denoted by $I_k(M)$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a curve of degree at most $n - 1$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. C is an $(n - k)$ -rope supported on the line L ;
2. $I_C = ((I_L)^2, [x_0, \dots, x_r]B)$ where the matrix B gives a graded homomorphism $\varphi_B : \oplus_{j=1}^k S(-\beta_j - 1) \rightarrow S^{r+1}(-1)$ such that $\text{codim}(I_k(B)) = 2$;
3. $I_C = ((I_L)^2, F_1, \dots, F_k)$ where $V(F_1, \dots, F_k) \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is a scheme of codimension k which contains L and is smooth at the points of L .

Remark 3.3. According to [Nagel-Notari-Spreafico-03], Remark 2.7 and Proposition 3.1, every $(n - k)$ -rope supported on the line L is related to matrices A, B with entries in S such that there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \oplus_{j=1}^k S(-\beta_j - 1) \xrightarrow{B} S(-1) \xrightarrow{A} \oplus_{i=0}^{r-k} S(\alpha_i - 1) \rightarrow H_*^1(\mathcal{I}_C) \rightarrow 0$$

where the ideals of maximal minors $I_k(B)$ and $I_{r+1-k}(A)$ have codimension 2. Note that A^t is just the syzygy matrix of B^t .

Example 3.4. (i) If $n = 2$, then the matrix B must be of the form

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} F \\ G \end{bmatrix} \text{ where } \{F, G\} \subset S \text{ is a regular sequence. Hence, we recover}$$

the result that the ideal of every double line in $\mathbb{P}^3$ is of the form

$$(x_0, x_1)^2 + (x_0F + x_1G).$$

For further results on double lines in $\mathbb{P}^3$ we refer to [Migliore] and [Manolache-05].

$$(ii) \text{ Using in case } n = 5 \text{ the matrix } B := \begin{bmatrix} t & 0 & 0 \\ -u & t & 0 \\ 0 & -u & t \\ 0 & 0 & -u \end{bmatrix}, \text{ we get a}$$

double line with homogeneous ideal

$$(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3)^2 + (x_0t - x_1u, x_1t - x_2u, x_2t - x_3u).$$

In [Nagel-Notari-Spreafico-04] the free resolution of a rope has been computed. The key idea is to use descending induction on the degree. The starting point is the following observation (Lemma 3.1 in [Nagel-Notari-Spreafico-04]). We continue to use the above notation.

Lemma 3.5. *Let C be an $(n - k)$ -rope with homogeneous ideal $I_C = ((I_L)^2, F_1, \dots, F_k)$. Then $I_{\tilde{C}} = ((I_L)^2, F_1, \dots, F_{k-1})$ defines an $(n - k + 1)$ -rope $\tilde{C}$ and the following sequence is exact*

$$0 \rightarrow I_L(-\beta_k - 1) \rightarrow I_{\tilde{C}} \oplus (F_k) \rightarrow I_C \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence, the mapping cone procedure can be used to find the minimal free resolution of C , once we know the resolution of $\tilde{C}$. It turns out that this is most difficult when $k = 1$, i.e. when $I_{\tilde{C}} = I_L^2$. Then the minimal free resolution of $\tilde{C}$ is given by an Eagon-Northcott complex. However, in order to find the comparison maps between the resolutions of L and $\tilde{C}$, we start with the following non-minimal free resolution. In order to state it we need more notation. We write the Koszul complex $P_\bullet$ which resolves I_L as

$$P_\bullet \quad 0 \longrightarrow \wedge^{n-1} P \xrightarrow{\delta_{n-1}} \dots \wedge^2 P \xrightarrow{\delta_2} P \xrightarrow{\delta_1} I_L \longrightarrow 0$$

where $P = R^{n-1}(-1) = \oplus_{i=0}^r Re_i$ and $\delta_1(e_i) = x_i$ for every i .

Lemma 3.6. *The ideal $(I_L)^2$ has the following non-minimal free resolution*

$$0 \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} \wedge^{n-1} P \otimes P \\ \oplus \\ \wedge^{n-1} P \end{array} \xrightarrow{\partial'_{n-1}} \dots \begin{array}{c} \wedge^2 P \otimes P \\ \oplus \\ \wedge^2 P \end{array} \xrightarrow{\partial'_2} P \otimes P \xrightarrow{\partial'_1} (I_L)^2 \longrightarrow 0$$

where

$$\partial'_i = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_i \otimes \text{id}_P & (-1)^i \partial_i \\ 0 & \delta_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad i = 3, \dots, n-1,$$

$$\partial'_2 = (\delta_2 \otimes \text{id}_P \quad \partial_2), \quad \partial'_1 = \delta_1 \otimes \delta_1$$

and $\partial_i : \wedge^i P \rightarrow \wedge^{i-1} P \otimes P$ is the canonical map defined by

$$\partial_i(u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge u_i) = \sum_{j=1}^i (-1)^{j+1} u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{u}_j \wedge \dots \wedge u_i \otimes u_j.$$

This is Proposition 3.2 in [Nagel-Notari-Spreafico-04]. It follows by applying the mapping cone procedure to the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{im} \delta_2 & \longrightarrow & I_L \otimes P & \longrightarrow & (I_L)^2 \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
 & & \wedge^2 P & \xrightarrow{\partial_2} & P \otimes P & & \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
 & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \\
 (+) & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
 & & \wedge^{n-1} P & \xrightarrow{\partial_{n-1}} & \wedge^{n-2} P \otimes P & & \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & \wedge^{n-1} P \otimes P & & \\
 & & & & \uparrow & & \\
 & & & & 0 & &
 \end{array}$$

We use Lemma 3.6 in order to obtain a particular minimal free resolution of I_L^2 . Since the map $\partial_i : \wedge^i P \rightarrow \wedge^{i-1} P \otimes P$ is split-injective we can identify $(\wedge^{i-1} P \otimes P)/\partial_i(\wedge^i P)$ with the free R -module, say D_{i-1} , being a direct summand of $\wedge^{i-1} P \otimes P$. Furthermore, the map $\delta_{i-1} \otimes \operatorname{id}_P : \wedge^{i-1} P \otimes P \rightarrow \wedge^{i-2} P \otimes P$ induces a homomorphism

$$d_{i-1} : D_{i-1} \rightarrow D_{i-2}, \quad i \geq 3.$$

Similarly, putting $D_0 = R$, the map $\delta_1 \otimes \operatorname{id}_P$ induces a homomorphism $d_1 : D_1 \rightarrow (I_L)^2$.

The diagram (+) implies that

$$0 \rightarrow D_{n-1} \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} \dots \rightarrow D_2 \xrightarrow{d_2} D_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} (I_L)^2 \rightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence. Comparing with the Eagon–Northcott resolution of I_L^2 we see that it is a minimal free resolution of $(I_L)^2$.

Moreover, denote by

$$\tau_B : Q := \bigoplus_{j=1}^k R(-\beta_j - 1) \rightarrow P$$

the extension of φ_B . Finally, define for $i \geq 2$

$$\mu_i : \wedge^{i-1} P \otimes Q \rightarrow D_{i-1}$$

as the composition $\wedge^{i-1} P \otimes Q \rightarrow \wedge^{i-1} P \otimes P \rightarrow D_{i-1}$ and let μ_1 be the composition $Q \xrightarrow{\varphi_B} P \xrightarrow{\delta_1} R$.

Now we can describe the minimal free resolution of an arbitrary rope on L (cf. [Nagel-Notari-Spreafico-04], Theorem 3.4).

Theorem 3.7. *Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be an $(n-k)$ -rope supported on the line L with homogeneous ideal $I_C = ((I_L)^2, [x_0, \dots, x_r]B)$. Then we have the following free resolution of I_C :*

$$G_\bullet \quad 0 \rightarrow G_n \xrightarrow{d'_n} \dots \rightarrow G_2 \xrightarrow{d'_2} G_1 \xrightarrow{d'_1} I_C \rightarrow 0$$

where

$$G_i := D_i \oplus (\wedge^{i-1} P \otimes Q),$$

and

$$d'_i := \begin{pmatrix} d_i & (-1)^i \mu_i \\ 0 & \delta_{i-1} \otimes \text{id}_Q \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{if } 2 \leq i \leq n-1,$$

and

$$D_n := 0, \quad d'_1 := \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & -\mu_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad d'_n := \begin{pmatrix} (-1)^n \mu_n \\ \delta_{n-1} \otimes \text{id}_Q \end{pmatrix}.$$

This resolution is minimal if and only if the rope $C \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is non-degenerate.

Remark 3.8. Since the module P has rank $n-1$, the map d'_n can also

be written as $d'_n := \begin{pmatrix} (-1)^n \tau_B(-n+1) \\ \delta_{n-1} \otimes \text{id}_Q \end{pmatrix}.$

Example 3.9 (Example 3.4 continued). We use the notation above.

(i) Set $\beta := \deg F = \deg G$. Then the minimal free resolution of the curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^3 = \text{Proj}(R)$ defined by $I_C = (x_0, x_1)^2 + (x_0 F + x_1 G)$ is

$$0 \longrightarrow R(-\beta-3) \xrightarrow{M_2} R(-\beta-2)^2 \oplus R(-3)^2 \xrightarrow{M_1} R(-\beta-1) \oplus R(-2)^3 \longrightarrow I_C \longrightarrow 0$$

where

$$M_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -F \\ -G \\ x_1 \\ -x_0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad M_1 = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & 0 & F & 0 \\ -x_0 & x_1 & G & F \\ 0 & -x_0 & 0 & G \\ 0 & 0 & -x_0 & -x_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(ii) Consider the double line $C \subset \mathbb{P}^5 = \text{Proj}(R)$ with homogeneous ideal $I_C = (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3)^2 + (x_0 t - x_1 u, x_1 t - x_2 u, x_2 t - x_3 u)$. We have

$P = R(-1)^4$ and $Q = R(-2)^3$. Hence, the minimal free resolution of C is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow R(-7)^3 \longrightarrow R(-6)^{12} \oplus R(-5)^4 \longrightarrow R(-5)^{18} \oplus R(-4)^{15} \longrightarrow \\ R(-4)^{12} \oplus R(-3)^{20} \longrightarrow R(-3)^3 \oplus R(-2)^{10} \longrightarrow I_C \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Of course, the linear part of this resolution is just the resolution of $(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3)^2$.

Let us now consider double structures of higher dimension. Manolache has shown that often these structures are very simple.

Theorem 3.10. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a Cohen-Macaulay double structure on a linear subspace of codimension $c \geq 2$. Assume that*

- (i) $c = 2$ and $n \geq 4$
- or (ii) X is locally a complete intersection and $\frac{n+1}{3} > c$.

Then, after a change of coordinates, the homogeneous ideal of X is $I_X = (x_0^2, x_1, \dots, x_{c-1})$.

Proof. These are special cases of [Manolache-92], Theorem 1, and [Manolache-95], Theorem. $\square$

The result is no longer true if the codimension of X is not too small.

Example 3.11. Consider the surface $X \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ with homogeneous ideal

$$I_X := (x_0, x_1, x_2)^2 + (x_0x_4 - x_1x_3, x_0x_5 - x_2x_3, x_1x_5 - x_2x_4).$$

This surface has degree two and its cohomology is

$$H_*^1(\mathcal{I}_X) \cong K \quad \text{and} \quad H_*^2(\mathcal{I}_X) = 0.$$

Thus, X is arithmetically Buchsbaum, but not arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay.

Note, that the minimal free resolution of X is linear. Hence, its graded Betti numbers coincide with those of the disjoint union of two planes.

The example shows that double structures on a linear space are not always trivial. Nevertheless, it seems feasible to completely characterize them, i.e. to extend the result about double lines to higher extension. Thus, we pose.

Problem 3.12. *Describe the homogeneous ideals and minimal free resolutions of Cohen-Macaulay double structures on a linear space.*

For some initial results we refer to [Ballico-Notari], where the authors investigate the problem when a rope supported on a line can be extended to a rope that is supported on a linear space of dimension ≥ 2 .

It is natural to consider the next cases, i.e. subschemes of degree 3, 4, $\dots$. The most complicated scheme structures will again occur for irreducible schemes that are supported on a linear space. In order to describe these multiple structures several filtrations have been proposed and used by Bănică and Forster and by Manolache. We discuss them briefly.

Let $L \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a linear subspace and let $X \subset L$ be a Cohen-Macaulay scheme that is supported on L . Then we denote by $L^{(i+1)}$ the i -th infinitesimal neighborhood of L . It is defined by the ideal I_L^{i+1} .

In case X is a curve, i.e. L is a line, Bănică and Forster introduced in [Bănică-Forster-86] the Cohen-Macaulay filtration of X

$$L = X_1 \subset X_2 \subset \dots \subset X_k = X$$

for some $k \geq 1$ where X_i is obtained by removing the embedding points from $X \cap L^{(i)}$. The quotients $\mathcal{I}_{X_i}/\mathcal{I}_{X_{i+1}}$ are vector bundles on L . Analyzing these quotients, Bănică and Forster obtained an analytic classification of the multiple structure on a line in codimension two, up to degree 4 (cf. also [Bănică-Forster-81]). The global classification of the degree three structures is given in [Nollet]. The degree four curves are described in [Nollet-Schlesinger]. Curves of degree three and arbitrary codimension are studied in [Nagel-Notari-Spreafico-05]. In [Gallego-Gonzalez-Purnaprajna], Theorem 5.3, it is shown that all ropes of arithmetic genus ≥ 3 are smoothable.

The results for codimension two curves have been used to show that the Hilbert scheme of space curves having degree three or four are connected (cf. [Nollet], [Nollet-Schlesinger]). If this true in all higher degrees remains an open problem.

Manolache has obtained results about certain components of the Hilbert scheme of degree four space curves [Manolache-03]. Results of this type have been used to study certain moduli spaces [Manolache-81], [Bănică-Manolache].

Theorem 3.7, in particular the information about the maps in the free resolution, has been crucial for studying the component of the Hilbert scheme that contains ropes (cf. [Nagel-Notari-Spreafico-04]). In particular, there is exactly one such component if the Hilbert scheme contains ropes at all. In most cases, this component is generically smooth.

The Bănică-Forster filtration in higher dimension has been studied in [Holme] and [Vatne].

Manolache has introduced two further filtrations that work in any dimension and codimension (cf. [Manolache-86], [Manolache-94]). Inspired by liaison theory he considers the schemes defined by

$$I_X : I_L^i$$

and

$$I_X : (I_X : I_L^i).$$

He used his first filtration in [Manolache-92] to give a classification of all multiple structures X on L when X has codimension two, its dimension is at least two, and its degree is at most four. In [Manolache-94] he obtained the analytic classification of multiple structures, up to degree 6. Combined with a result of Faltings giving conditions on a vector bundle to guarantee that it splits as a direct sum of line bundles, he completely described all the locally complete intersection multiple structures on L , up to degree 6, provided the codimension of L is sufficiently small. Then these structures are all complete intersections ([Manolache-95]). For more details, we refer to [Manolache-03].

It would be extremely interesting to classify all Cohen-Macaulay multiple structures on a linear space, up to degree three. A test is the following conjecture of Vatne ([Vatne], Conjecture 4.3), where we slightly deviate from our notation above.

Conjecture 3.13. *Let $L \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be a subspace of dimension $n \geq 6$ and arbitrary codimension. Let $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be any Cohen-Macaulay subscheme of degree three such that*

$$L \subset Y \subset L^{(2)}.$$

Then there is a degree two Cohen-Macaulay subscheme Z such that

$$L \subset Z \subset Y.$$

This is related to the famous conjecture of Hartshorne about codimension two varieties.

Conjecture 3.14. *Every smooth variety $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ of codimension two is a complete intersection, provided $n \geq 6$.*

Remark 3.15. Vatne has shown in [Vatne], Theorem 4.4, that, for each n , his conjecture is equivalent to Hartshorne's conjecture.

REFERENCES

- [Ballico-Notari] E. Ballico, R. Notari, *Ropes on linear subspaces of a projective space*, Preprint, 2005.
- [Bănică-Forster-81] C. Bănică, O. Forster, *Sur les structures multiples*, Unpublished manuscript, 1981.
- [Bănică-Forster-86] C. Bănică, O. Forster, *Multiplicity structures on space curves*, Contemp. Math. **58** (1986), 47–64.
- [Bănică-Manolache] C. Bănică, N. Manolache, *Rank 2 stable vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}^3(\mathbb{C})$ with Chern classes $c_1 = -1$, $c_2 = 4$* , Math. Z. **190** (1985), 315–339.
- [Brodmann-Schenzel] M. Brodmann, P. Schenzel, *Curves of degree $r + 2$ in $\mathbb{P}^r$: Cohomological, geometric, and homological aspects*, J. Algebra **242** (2001), 577–623.
- [Bruns-Herzog] W. Bruns, J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings. Rev. ed.*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **39**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [Ein-Eisenbud-Katz] L. Ein, D. Eisenbud, S. Katz, *Varieties cut out by quadrics: Scheme-theoretic versus homogeneous generation of ideals*, In: Algebraic geometry (Sundance, UT, 1986), 51–70, Lecture Notes in Math. **1311**, Springer, Berlin, 1988.
- [Eisenbud-Harris] D. Eisenbud, J. Harris, *On varieties of minimal degree (A centennial account)*, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics **46** (1987), 3–13.
- [Eisenbud-Koh] D. Eisenbud, J. Koh, *Some linear syzygy conjectures*, Adv. Math. **90** (1991), 47–76.
- [Fujita-1982] T. Fujita, *Classification of projective varieties of Δ -genus one*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **58** (1982), 113–116.
- [Fujita-1984] T. Fujita, *Projective varieties of Δ -genus one*, In: Algebraic and topological theories (Kinosaki, 1984), 149–175, Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1986.
- [Gallego-Gonzalez-Purnaprajna] F. J. Gallego, M. Gonzalez, B. P. Purnaprajna, *Smoothing of ropes on curves*, Preprint, 2005.
- [Harris] J. Harris, *A bound on the geometric genus of projective varieties*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **8** (1981), 36–68.
- [Hoa-Stückrad-Vogel] L. T. Hoa, J. Stückrad, W. Vogel, *Towards a structure theory for projective varieties of degree = codimension + 2*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **71** (1991), 203–231.
- [Hoa] L. T. Hoa, *On the minimal free resolutions of projective varieties of degree = codimension + 2*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **87** (1993), 241–250.
- [Holme] A. Holme, *On linear subspaces with nilpotent structure*, Preprint, 1989.
- [Ionescu] P. Ionescu, *On varieties whose degree is small with respect to codimension*, Math. Ann. **271** (1985), 339–348.
- [Manolache-81] N. Manolache, *Rank 2 stable vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}^3$ with Chern classes $c_1 = -1$, $c_2 = 2$* , Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. **26** (1981), 1203–1209.
- [Manolache-86] N. Manolache, *Cohen-Macaulay nilpotent structures*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. **31** (1986), 563–575.
- [Manolache-92] N. Manolache, *Codimension two linear varieties with nilpotent structures*, Math. Z. **210** (1992), 573–580.

- [Manolache-94] N. Manolache, *Multiple structures on smooth support*, Math. Nachr. **167** (1994), 157–202.
- [Manolache-95] N. Manolache, *Nilpotent lci structures on global complete intersections*, Math. Z. **219** (1995), 403–411.
- [Manolache-03] N. Manolache, *Cohen-Macaulay nilpotent schemes*, In: Recent Advances in Geometry and Topology, Proceedings of the third German-Romanian Seminar on Geometry, Cluj-Napoca, 2003, 235–248.
- [Manolache-05] N. Manolache, *Linkage extensions*, Preprint, 2005.
- [Migliore] J. Migliore, *On linking double lines*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **294** (1986), 177–185.
- [Nagel-Notari-Spreafico-03] U. Nagel, R. Notari, M. L. Spreafico, *Curves of degree two and ropes on a line: their ideals and even liaison classes*, J. Algebra **265** (2003), 772–793.
- [Nagel-Notari-Spreafico-04] U. Nagel, R. Notari, M. L. Spreafico, *The Hilbert scheme of degree two curves and certain ropes*, Preprint, 2004.
- [Nagel-Notari-Spreafico-05] U. Nagel, R. Notari, M. L. Spreafico, in preparation.
- [Nagel] U. Nagel, *Arithmetically Buchsbaum divisors on varieties of minimal degree*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **351** (1999), 4381–4409.
- [Nollet] S. Nollet, *The Hilbert scheme of degree three curves*, Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Sup. **30** (1997), 367–384.
- [Nollet-Schlesinger] S. Nollet, E. Schlesinger, *Hilbert schemes of degree four curves*, Compositio Math. **139** (2003), 169–196.
- [Okonek] C. Okonek, *Moduli reflexiver Garben und Flächen von kleinem Grad in $\mathbb{P}^4$* , Math. Z. **184** (1983), 549–572.
- [Stanley] R. Stanley, *Hilbert functions of graded algebras*, Adv. Math. **28** (1978), 57–82.
- [Vatne] J. E. Vatne, *Multiple structures*, Preprint, 2002.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY, 715 PATTERSON OFFICE TOWER, LEXINGTON, KY 40506-0027, USA
E-mail address: uwenagel@ms.uky.edu